

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMESSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Abxandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.napo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/005,846	12/03/2001	Khuy V. Nguyen	2000.83	1022
29494	7590 11/25/2003		EXAMINER	
ROBERT H. HAMMER III, P.C.			CHANG, VICTOR S	
3121 SPRINGBANK LANE SUITE I			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
CHARLOTTE	E, NC 28226		1771	
			DATE MAILED: 11/25/200	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

4		Application No.	Applicant(s)				
		10/005,846	NGUYEN ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
		Victor S Chang	1771				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address				
THE : - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.15 (SI) (MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. s period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing and patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	tiely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. & 133).				
1)🖾	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 16 Se	eptember 2003.					
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This a	action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Dispositi	ion of Claims						
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrav Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or						
Applicati	ion Papers						
10)	The specification is objected to by the Examiner The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce Applicant may not request that any objection to the or Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex.	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See on is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
	under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120		7,00,000 00 101111 70 102.				
12)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prioriapplication from the International Bureau see the attached detailed Office action for a list ocknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic nice a specific reference was included in the first 7 CFR 1.78. 1 The translation of the foreign language procedures was included in the first sentence of the ference was included in the first sentence of the ference was included in the first sentence of the	s have been received. s have been received in Applicative documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). of the certified copies not received priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(extremely sentence of the specification or visional application has been received priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120	on No d in this National Stage d.) (to a provisional application) in an Application Data Sheet. eived. and/or 121 since a specific	ı			
Attachment 1) Notice	t(s) e of References Cited (PTO-892)	A) Intention Com-	(DTO 413) Paper No/a)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Neterences Cited (F10-692) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT0-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PT0-1449) Paper No(s)	4) Interview Summary (5) Notice of Informal Pa 6) Other:					

Application/Control Number: 10/005,846 Page 2

Art Unit: 1771

DETAILED ACTION

1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's amendments and remarks filed on 9/16/2003. Applicant's amendments to claims 1, 4, 5, 8-11 have been entered.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Rejections not maintained are withdrawn.

Response to Amendment

4. Claims 1-11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Applicants' admitted prior in view of Palomo et al. (US 5938874), substantially for the reasons set forth in section 6 of Paper No. 0619, together with the following additional observations.

With respect to Applicants' response arguing that "Cited references fail to mention anything about the optimum amount of thermoplastic olefin elastomer, less than 10 percent by blend weight, ... without interfering with its porosity." (Remarks, page 9, bottom paragraph), the Examiner repeats (see Paper 0619, page 4) that Palomo's inventionis directed to making a flexible and resilient microporous film; regarding the amount of elastomer used in the blend, it is also believed to be either inherently disclosed by Palomo, or an obvious optimization to one skilled in the art, motivated by the desire to obtain optimal required film flexibility and resiliency with suitable microporous property. Further, Applicants' argument appears to assume that

Application/Control Number: 10/005,846

Art Unit: 1771

an anticipation rejection, not an obviousness rejection, has been made. It should also be noted that where the general conditions of a claim are disclosed in the prior art, discovering the optimum or workable ranges involves only routine skill in the art.

With respect to Applicants' argument that "flexible and resilient is different from mechanically strengthened." (Remarks, page 12, bottom paragraph), the Examiner notes that in the same paragraph Applicants have admitted that "flexible" is defined in Webster's Third New International Dictionary as "capable of being turned, bowed, or twisted without breaking", which clearly meets the definition of "strength" as the quality of enduring the application of force without breaking" as well, as turning, bowing, or twisting would required the microporous film to be subjected to an "application of force", Applicants' argument to the contrary notwithstanding.

With respect to Applicants' argument that "Palomo teaches away from utilizing the optimum amount of thermoplastic olefin elastomer, ... Palomo, as stated, utilizes the support layer to provide strength to the film layer ..." (Remarks, page 13, first full paragraph), the Examiner notes that Applicants clearly argue the cited references individually. In response to Applicants' argument, it is asserted that one cannot show non-obviousness by attacking references individually where the rejections are based on combinations of references. See *In re Keller*, 642 F.2d 413, 208 USPQ 871 (CCPA 1981); *In re Merck & Co.*, 800 F.2d 1091, 231 USPQ 375 (Fed. Cir. 1986).

5. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/005,846

Art Unit: 1771

i.

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Victor S Chang whose telephone number is 703-605-4296. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30 - 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Terrel H Morris can be reached on 703-308-2414. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9310.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.

VSC

DANIEL ZIRKER PRIMARY EXAMINER GROUP 1300

Daniel Zukin